| 1 | and 6) the Respondent's signature card, received May 28, 2003, for electronic filing of the | | | | | | | | | |
|---|---|-----------------|------------|-----|--------|----|----------------|---------|-----|-----|
| 2 | Financial Af | ffairs Statemen | ıt. | | | | | | | |
| 3 | The | Commission | considered | the | Notice | of | Administrative | Charges | and | its |
| 4 | | | | | | | | | | |

incorporated exhibits. The Notice of Administrative Charges and exhibits are incorporated by reference into this Order. The Commission heard oral argument by Staff. Respondent testified, and stated that after attempting unsuccessfully to electronically file the F-1A short form, she mailed a paper copy of the F-1A to the PDC, together with her electronic filing signature card, on May 17, 2003. She stated that after receiving the hearing notice mailed by the PDC on May 23, 2003, she sent a copy of her F-1A and signature card on May 28, 2003. She stated that she could not explain the fact that the F-1A and signature card allegedly mailed by her on May 17, 2003, were not received by the PDC.

Based on this record, the Commission finds that: 14

- 1. RCW 42.17.240 requires elected and appointed officials, after January 1st and before 15 April 15th of each year, to file with the commission a Statement of Financial Affairs for 16 17 the preceding calendar year.
- 18 2. The Respondent is a Regent of the University of Washington who held office during 19 2002 and was required to file a Statement of Financial Affairs (PDC form F-1) by April 20 15, 2003. 21
- 3. The Respondent was reminded by letter on May 7, 2003 to file the missing F-1 report. 22 The Respondent was notified on May 23, 2003 that she was scheduled for a brief
- 24 enforcement hearing on June 12, 2003. The Respondent was notified on June 5, 2003,
- 25 that the brief enforcement hearing had been canceled because, according to a penalty

23

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

| 1 | | schedule previously adopted by the Commission, the number of past violations made |
|----|-----|---|
| 2 | | her case not eligible for a brief enforcement hearing. |
| 3 | 4. | The Respondent's F-1A report was received May 28, 2003. |
| 4 | | |
| 5 | | |
| 6 | | <u>ORDER</u> |
| 7 | | Based on the record submitted in this matter, the Commission orders as follows: |
| 8 | 1. | That the Respondent committed a single violation of RCW 42.17.240; |
| 9 | 2. | That a total civil penalty of \$500.00 is assessed against the Respondent; |
| 10 | 3. | That \$250 is suspended on the condition the Respondent commits no further violations |
| 11 | | of RCW 42.17 for a period of one year from the date of the order. |
| 12 | | of ite ii 12.17 for a period of one year from the date of the order. |
| 13 | | |
| 14 | | RECONSIDERATION OF FINAL ORDER - COMMISSION |
| 15 | | Any party may ask the Commission to reconsider this final order. Parties must |
| 16 | pla | ace their requests for reconsideration in writing, include the specific grounds or reasons |
| 17 | fo | the request, and deliver the request to the Public Disclosure Commission Office within |
| 18 | TI | EN (10) days of the date that the Commission serves this order upon the party. Pursuan |
| 19 | to | RCW 34.05.470(3), the Public Disclosure Commission is deemed to have denied the |
| 20 | | |
| 21 | pe | tition for reconsideration if, within twenty (20) days from the date the petition is filed, the |
| 22 | Co | ommission does not either dispose of the petition or serve the parties with written notice |
| 23 | sp | ecifying the date by which it will act on the petition. Pursuant to RCW 34.05.470(5), the |
| 24 | Re | spondent is not required to ask the Public Disclosure Commission to reconsider the final |
| 25 | ore | der before seeking judicial review by a superior court. |
| 26 | | |

1 **FURTHER APPEAL RIGHTS – SUPERIOR COURT** 2 Pursuant to RCW 42.17.395(5), a **final order** issued by the Public Disclosure 3 Commission is subject to judicial review under the Administrative Procedures Act, chapter 4 34.05 RCW. The procedures are provided in RCW 34.05.510 - .598. Pursuant to RCW 5 34.05.542(2), a petition for judicial review must be filed with the superior court in Thurston 6 County or the petitioner's county of residence or principal place of business. The petition 7 8 for judicial review must be served on the Public Disclosure Commission and any other 9 parties within 30 days of the date that the Public Disclosure Commission serves this final 10 order on the parties. 11 If reconsideration is properly sought, the petition for judicial review must be served 12 on the Public Disclosure Commission and any other parties within thirty (30) days after the 13 Commission acts on the petition for reconsideration. 14 **ENFORCEMENT OF FINAL ORDERS** 15 16 The Commission will seek to enforce this final order in superior court under RCW 17 42.17.395-397, and recover legal costs and attorney's fees, if the penalty remains unpaid 18 and no petition for judicial review has been filed under chapter 34.05 RCW. This action 19 will be taken without further order by the Commission. 20 DATED THIS 26th day of September, 2003. 21 22 FOR THE COMMISSION: 23 /s/24 25 VICKI RIPPIE, Executive Director 26